

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,792	06/28/2001	Xiong Liu	STL9862/40176.59USU1 8303	
7590 05/26/2004  Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey & Tippens Bank One Tower 100 North Broadway, Suite 1700			EXAMINER	
			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Oklahoma City, OK 73102-8820			2651	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	le

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/896,792	LIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	arch 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14-22 and 24-27</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14-22 and 24-27</u> is/are rejec 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex</li> </ul>	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,792

Art Unit: 2651

پنز اند

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawing corrections filed 3/11/04 are approved.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-18, 20-22, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Each of independent claims 1, 10, 26 and 27 along with dependent claim 20 substantially set forth reading a first servo wedge and then writing two or more servo wedges on a second track. As seen from figure 5 along with corresponding disclosure a sector (302) comprises servo wedges (320, 322, 324 and 325), each servo wedge comprises servo burst pairs (A and B). The write head (306) is shown positioned along a given track, for example the track between boundaries (k+26 and k+25). It does not seem possible from this arrangement that two or more servo wedges can be written an "an instance" or "less than one revolution" of the disk. Instead, it appears that one servo burst from a plurality of servo wedges are recorded during one rotation of the disk.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/896,792

Art Unit: 2651

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ehrlich et al.

The specifics of this rejection are incorporated herein from corresponding rejection provided on pages 2-3 of the previous office action mailed 12/18/03.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/11/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues concerning claim 19 that the claimed means for writing servo wedges is disclosed as the offset head and read/write channel. These features are satisfied by Ehrlich et al., column 10, line 28 and element (51) respectively. The argued limitation of "during less than one revolution" is not set forth in claim 19. The functional limitation of writing servo wedges as claimed is taught by Ehrlich et al.

Applicant did not provide any reasons why claims 26-27 are allowable over the art of record as required by MPEP 714.04, although language similar to that argues is present in these claims. It appears that the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10-12 and 15 as being anticipated by Ehrlich et al. has been overcome, however if applicant amends the claims in a manner that two or more servo bursts are written instead of the present

Application/Control Number: 09/896,792

Art Unit: 2651

language two or more servo wedges, then a rejection using Krounbi et al. (previously cited) could be made (see figure 3 along with corresponding disclosure)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 703-308-1602. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-305-4700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew L. Sniezek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2651

A.L.S. 5/25/04